

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION N	Ю.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/691,216		10/19/2000	Shigehisa Watanabe	3542-0111P	4485	
2292	7590	04/03/2003	•			
		KOLASCH & BI	EXAMINER			
PO BOX FALLS C		A 22040-0747	CHIANG, JACK			
	,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,				2642		
			DATE MAILED: 04/03/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,	Application No. 09/69/216	Applicant(s)	S. Watana	be
Office Action Summary	Application No. 09/69/216 Examiner 7, CL 12	ing	Group Art Unit てもイン	#
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears		•		
Period for Response				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS SE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	T TO EXPIRE <u>-3</u>	MONTH((S) FROM THE	
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a If NO period for response is specified above, such period shall, by defaulting to respond within the set or extended period for response will, by 	response within the statuto	ory minimum of third from the mailing d	ty (30) days will be con late of this communica	sidered timely. tion .
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	10-19-00			
☐ This action is FINAL .				
 Since this application is in condition for allowance except to accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 	or formal matters, pros e C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213	ecution as to th 3.	ne merits is closed	i in
Disposition of Claims				
☑ Claim(s)			is/are pending in the application.	
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
□ Claim(s)	is/are all	is/are allowed.		
Claim(s) 1-5	is/are rej	is/are rejected.		
□ Claim(s)				
□ Claim(s)	are subje			
Application Papers				
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing				
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on		☐ disapproved.		
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objecte	ed to by the Examiner.			
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)		4 A		
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority und MAII Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the Correctived.	e priority documents ha	ave been		
 received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number received in this national stage application from the International 			 •	
*Certified copies not received:			<u> </u>	
Attachment(s)				
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No	(s) li	nterview Summa	ary, PTO-413	
√ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892		Notice of Informa	al Patent Application	n, PTO-152
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948		Other		
Office	Action Summary			

Recent Statutory Changes to 35 U.S.C. § 102(e)

On November 2, 2002, President Bush signed the 21st Century Department of Justice Appropriations Authorization Act (H.R. 2215) (Pub. L. 107-273, 116 Stat. 1758 (2002)), which further amended 35 U.S.C. § 102(e), as revised by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) (Pub. L. 106-113, 113 Stat. 1501 (1999)). The revised provisions in 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) are completely retroactive and effective immediately for all applications being examined or patents being reexamined. Until all of the Office's automated systems are updated to reflect the revised statute, citation to the revised statute in Office actions is provided by this attachment. This attachment also substitutes for any citation of the text of 35 U.S.C. § 102(e), if made, in the attached Office action.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraph of 35 U.S.C. § 102 in view of the AIPA and H.R. 2215 that forms the basis for the rejections under this section made in the attached Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

35 U.S.C. § 102(e), as revised by the AIPA and H.R. 2215, applies to all qualifying references, except when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. For such patents, the prior art date is determined under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as it existed prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. § 102(e)).

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraph of 35 U.S.C. § 102 prior to the amendment by the AIPA that forms the basis for the rejections under this section made in the attached Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

For more information on revised 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) visit the USPTO website at www.uspto.gov or call the Office of Patent Legal Administration at (703) 305-1622.

Art Unit: 2642

DRAWINGS

1. The drawings are objected to because Fig. 5 should be labeled as "PRIOR ART". A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

CLAIMS

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- a. The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors

 Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application
 as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29,
 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this
 application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the
 AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).
- 3. Claims 1, 3-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Konomi (US 4588867).

Application/Control Number: 09/691,216

Art Unit: 2642

Regarding claim 1, Konomi shows:

A case (15, 6);

An electroacoustic transducer (9);

A sound discharging nozzle (6c); and

An upper chamber (in 6).

Regarding claim 3, Konomi shows:

A first case (2, 4, 5) having an opening (2c);

A sound producing device (see 6, 9, 15);

A second case (6, 15);

An electroacoustic transducer (9);

A sound discharging nozzle (6c);

An upper chamber (in 6).

the sound discharging nozzle (6c) is in the opening (2c).

Regarding claims 4-5, Konomi shows:

The first case comprises an upper and lower case (see 2, 4, 5), a recess (for 15), the opening (2c); and

A cylindrical cushion (7).

4. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kobayashi et al. (US 6061459).

Application/Control Number: 09/691,216
Art Unit: 2642
Regarding claim 1, Kobayashi shows:
A case (12);
An electroacoustic transducer (col. 1, lines 8-12);
A sound discharging nozzle (19); and

An upper chamber (between 12a-12b).

Regarding claim 2, Kobayashi shows a substrate (14).

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 3-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kobayashi in view of Konomi (US 4588867).

Regarding claim 3, Kobayashi shows:

A first case (13) having an opening (16);

A sound producing device (fig. 6);

A second case (12);

An electroacoustic transducer (col. 1, lines 8-12);

A sound discharging nozzle (19);

An upper chamber (between 12a-12b).

Art Unit: 2642

Kobayashi differs from the claimed invention in that the sound discharging nozzle is facing against, but not inserted in the opening.

However, Konomi teaches providing a sound discharging nozzle (i.e. 6c) which is inserted in the opening (2c) of the case.

Hence, it would have been obvious for one skilled in the art to modify Kobayashi by providing the nozzle in the opening as taught by Konomi, this simply can be considered as an variation of Kobayashi because the basic concept of providing the nozzle and its sound delivering function is substantially unchanged whether the nozzle is in the opening or faced against the opening.

Regarding claims 4-5, the combination of Kobayashi and Konomi shows:

The first case comprises an upper and lower case (see 13 in Kobayashi), a recess (for 12), the opening (16); and

A cylindrical cushion (7 in Konomi).

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jack Chiang whose telephone number is 703-305-4728. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. from 8:00 to 6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ahmad Matar, can be reached on (703) 305-4731. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9314.

Application/Control Number: 09/691,216

Art Unit: 2642

Page 6

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-4700.

Jack Chiang Primary Examiner Art Unit 2642